

Date	Feedback type	support	comments
11/01/2010	Freephone		I think it's disappointing that they will be closing Union Street
11/01/2010	Freephone	N	Charity groups are closing, old folks homes are getting closed and you're giving money to this ludicrous scheme. Money would be better spent on something a lot more important in Aberdeen.
11/01/2010	Freephone	N	I am against the CSP. There are very few green places in Aberdeen.
11/01/2010	Freephone	N	I think we should keep it as it is. It's a green lung in the middle of Aberdeen. It will mean the closure of Union Street, which is a mistake. It's too big of an open plan area with no features. The gardens are distinctive.
11/01/2010	Freephone	N	This is a dreadful piece of poor planning. It causes the destruction of the gardens, will cost millions, and is the wrong vision in regard to a heart to the city centre. There is an iconic heart sitting there - a wonderful natural feature. In the 1880s there were designs for bridges/arches from Belmont street, but in the 1890s it changed, and they disappeared. Instead of spending millions, why not use the money to make an interesting development of the gardens?
11/01/2010	Freephone	N	The Union Square plans are cultural vandalism
11/01/2010	Freephone	N	I am concerned about the proposal. I don't want it to happen.
11/01/2010	Freephone	Y	I will vote for UTG to be taken up to street level.
11/01/2010	Freephone	Y	I definitely agree with Sir Ian's idea. I am an Aberdonian now in Banchory, and I am very old. Over the years I have never seen more than half a dozen people in the park. I would make the city centre much more pleasant for citizens.
11/01/2010	Freephone	Y	I am in favour of the CSP. I can't understand why people are against it.
11/01/2010	Freephone	Y	It would be a super idea. Union Street has become drab. We have Union Square and Shopping centres, but they're bitty. It would be a central park that people could remember. It would give us pride in our centre.
12/01/2010	Freephone		Union Terrace should be used to build assembly rooms with several levels of car parks underneath street level. There is a great need for places for public groups to meet. Peacocks is a good idea, but the roof would be a temptation for skateboarders. The skateboard rink would be abused. Snow could show effects on the false level of UTG. The city needs a square in front of Mariscall College, taking into account Provost Skene's House. The project is fraught with dangers, and disaster in the future.
12/01/2010	Freephone		We have returned her call numerous times (first thing in the morning, late evening) but no response.
12/01/2010	Freephone	N	We are against Sir Ian Wood's idea. We have been here for 73 years and we want the gardens to remain a calm green space in the middle of town.

12/01/2010	Freephone	N	I would like to register my vote against the plans Sir Ian Wood is proposing. UTG are a beautiful natural resource that would be completely destroyed by raising it to street level. If Sir Ian's magnanimous gesture to donate 50 million was open for the regeneration of the city centre then I'm sure it would be welcomed - but not for this project.
12/01/2010	Freephone	N	I want to object to the plans to raise UTG. It is stupid to get rid of a green space.
12/01/2010	Freephone	N	I wouldn't like to see it changed. It's a nice quiet spot in the centre of the city.
12/01/2010	Freephone	N	I don't think that Sir Ian Wood's plan is a good idea, but I do think the Peacocks plain is a very good idea.
12/01/2010	Freephone	N	I can't believe that they have done this. As soon as Peacocks were granted permission they snuck in the back door. There was never any money offered to the city, it was simply money to create a concrete jungle on our gardens. This would never happen in Edinburgh's Prince's Street gardens. I can't believe Aberdeen City Council are considering this. It is a land grab. Nothing has been done with the abandoned factory nearby. I am totally up in arms about this, but I support Peacocks.
12/01/2010	Freephone	Y	He would like to register formal support for UTG plans, more than UTG, it will breathe life into the city centre as a whole. The prospect of walking across from Union Terrace to Belmont Street is great. I think it is fantastic.
12/01/2010	Freephone	Y	I am in favour of the project. Right now it is just a black hole. Something needs to be done with it. Nobody goes down there at the moment.
12/01/2010	Freephone	Y	I am in favour of the project.
12/01/2010	Freephone	Y	I think it's an excellent idea. It is the best thing that's happened to Aberdeen in 200 years!
12/01/2010	Freephone	Y	I think it's a good idea. Ian Wood has brought a lot of employment to Aberdeen and I would like to have a square that other people have and I think it should go ahead.
12/01/2010	Freephone	Y	The first time I heard about it I thought it was brilliant. Absolutely what's needed. The park is under used and when it is used it's by the wrong people. It's absolutely a splendid idea.
12/01/2010	Freephone	Y	I am in favour of it.
13/01/2010	Freephone		I am a City Councillor. I want to know how constituents can get involved. Is there a postal address they can write to? There should be two residents associations invited to focus groups.

13/01/2010	Freephone	N	I believe that the 50 million that Sir Ian is going to contribute should be the only money going into the project - no public money should be used. Money should come from oil companies. Wood should spend £20 million on renovating the park and the other £30 million should be spent on renovating pensioners homes and on hospital equipment. The £90 million of taxpayers money should be spent on repairing holes in roads and hospitals. I can't see CSP being an economic benefit.
13/01/2010	Freephone	N	I live in Ellon, and I am not from Aberdeen, but I am greatly against the CSP.
13/01/2010	Freephone	N	I don't agree with a civic square. I don't see anything wrong with UTG. It's lovely in the summertime.
13/01/2010	Freephone	N	I would like it to stay as it is. Empty buildings could be used for the contemporary arts. If some man wants to spend his cash, it would be better used on dilapidated buildings in the centre, rather than concreting the space.
13/01/2010	Freephone	N	I think the gardens should be kept as they are and spruced up. If anything, I would like to see the Peacocks plans go ahead. It's a vanity project by Ian Wood.
13/01/2010	Freephone	N	I am opposed to redevelopment, and would like to retain the original gardens. Artist's impression looked suspiciously like paving stones. If the gardens were raised, it would take away the peace and quiet of the area. Also the cost of it when the Council is strapped for cash is a bad idea. I am dead against any redevelopment. I think UTG is a green haven for birds and wildlife.
13/01/2010	Freephone	N	I disagree with the project. It is a natural green area and amphitheatre. It is refreshing, and concreting over it is awful. Wood's £50 million could go somewhere else (e.g. a monument). UTG could be nicer, it needs improving, but I really object to concreting it over.
13/01/2010	Freephone	Y	I think it's a good idea. It's an under used space because of the level that it's at. It's nice on a summer's day, but the access is difficult, particularly for old people. It will revitalize the centre. It's the missing piece.
13/01/2010	Freephone	Y	I am in favour of the scheme. UTG has been allowed to be taken over by drug users. If it was raised to street level it would get over this problem and make it good again.
13/01/2010	Freephone	Y	Nothing happens in UTG. Nobody goes there. A survey said that 4,000 people are against it, but maybe if those 4,000 people used it, then there wouldn't be a need to develop the gardens. I think the city square would be much better used. I am for it.
13/01/2010	Freephone	Y	I am delighted to see this project go ahead - it looks fantastic!
14/01/2010	Freephone		She is an elderly woman who is hard of hearing - so please send a leaflet to her.

14/01/2010	Freephone	N	If UTG is raised it would <i>not</i> be comfortable for sitting in (too open). The press (Evening Express) always photograph it on a dismal day. Needs a wee bit of TLC and brighter lights (like Inverness Bridge). This money could be put to better use - e.g. pavements. Should have put his (Woods?) money into Glencraft or other things that have closed as a result of mis-management by the Council.
14/01/2010	Freephone	N	I remember the garden when it was vibrant. At the moment it is run down, and people feel afraid to go there. It needs toilets for the public. I want an entertainment space, a place where people can meet, get away from concrete, and play games. Bring it up to date, light it up, add some surveillance. If Sir Ian Wood wants to help us, we should grab the bull by the horns.
14/01/2010	Freephone	N	I have always lived in Aberdeen, and I love the UTG. I want them to stay as they are.
14/01/2010	Freephone	N	Why can't they leave UTG alone? It's too beautiful to change it. I'm 82 and want it left as it is. I used to take my kids to it.
14/01/2010	Freephone	Y	On the face of it, I am in favour of it. The leaflet produced doesn't say what goes underneath. The street level will be beautiful. The public ought to have some idea - mustn't hoodwink the public.
14/01/2010	Freephone	Y	I would love to see a new civic square. I pass UTG every day, but wouldn't dare go down into it. I remember UTG being great when I was a young kid, but not now.
14/01/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes, I am in full agreement with the project. I hope it goes ahead. I think it would be great for Aberdeen. I wouldn't go down to UTG on my own, there are so many stories about weird people hanging around. If they raised it to the street level it would be a great place for the city centre.
15/01/2010	Freephone	N	UTG is the most attractive part of the city, and has the nicest public toilets in Aberdeen. I shall weep bitter tears if they go ahead with it. Sir Ian Wood could put his money to much better use to help people. I want to register my disapproval of the plan to alter the most lovely part of Aberdeen.
15/01/2010	Freephone	N	I am completely against the plans. They look absolutely dreadful - on par with the top of St. Nicholas Centre. A very wrong thing to do, and a waste of millions of pounds. Could spend £2 million on UTG and make it nice and safe again. Ian Wood could find better things to do with his money, or keep it for himself.
15/01/2010	Freephone	N	I'm vehemently opposed. It is a 1980's development 30 years too late. Last thing we need is another car park and shops. I've got no objection to covering the railway or road, but if he (Sir Ian Woods?) wants a square, why not re-develop Castlegate or another space? Edinburgh's success is its gardens. Plans contravene European law and planning guidance. There are better options and better locations for this plan.

15/01/2010	Freephone	N	I'm against the square. The Peacocks idea is much better. You could have the arts centre in the gardens with shops in the arches. It could be devastating for the wildlife.
15/01/2010	Freephone	N	I think they should leave some of our heritage alone. They should organize more events in the gardens. Pipe bands, New Year and Christmas events etc. Leave it as it is and don't tear the heart out of Aberdeen.
15/01/2010	Freephone	N	Travesty if gardens destroyed. I value an open green space away from the road. It is a unique amenity in the city centre, and adds to the architecture of Aberdeen. It has an iconic view towards the theatre.
15/01/2010	Freephone	N	I think it's very generous for Mr. Wood to offer his money, and I wouldn't like to sound ungrateful, but the project would be a disaster for Aberdeen, aesthetically it is horrible. I am not opposed to development of UTG, per se, but what is planned would be regretted for generations to come.
16/01/2010	Freephone		I think your questionnaire is fundamentally flawed. The main question is do you support the vision, but it's preceded by asking what we want to see in a square we don't want.
18/01/2010	Freephone	N	I don't approve, I think it's a monstrosity. The council is biased towards Sir Ian Wood's plan. There were plans to create a civic square when St. Nicholas Square is knocked down, so should create small civic square there. Gardens should be left alone, apart from better lighting, and the Victorian toilets should be restored. Minor upgrades are what is needed, and would be a more cost-effective solution. A small cafe under the arches or a bandstand would be good. The council is crazy to consider this idea.
18/01/2010	Freephone	N	It should be left as it is, although it needs to be upgraded. Peacock should be within it. With better lighting and some refurbishments, people would use it.
18/01/2010	Freephone	N	It should be kept the way it is, and shouldn't be raised at all. It's a waste of money. There should be increased and better access - with access from Belmont street, with a bridge. More access for people with wheelchairs. Right now, only access if from the back of the theatre, which isn't very nice. Get rid of junkies and drinkers, tidy up the back entrance and maybe put in a cafe or something to attract people. Spending 140 million on raising UTG is crazy.
19/01/2010	Freephone		He is looking for further information on the plans. Information on ACGSF website. Alison Cran sent this info. to him.
20/01/2010	Freephone		I want to know who is involved in the project consultation, and who is in charge of the project consultation at this early stage. Letter was sent on 26/01/10.

20/01/2010	Freephone	Y	I am quite in favour of the CSP. I found going down through UTG area scary - just drunks and drug addicts. I used to live in Rosemount, and I never used the UTG. There is nothing there, nothing to do. It's nice to look at the floral display, but that's about it.
21/01/2010	Freephone	N	I am against the city square project I don't want a concrete square I don't want an artificial square
21/01/2010	Freephone	N	I don't want the CSP, I want UTG.
21/01/2010	Freephone	Y	I am very much in favour of the Wood thing for UTG. It is about time we had something proper in the centre of Aberdeen that you can walk around like other towns have.
21/01/2010	Freephone	Y	We're all for it. It has been ongoing for years. It's a dangerous place now. We'd like to see it a better and beautiful place. We used t play here when we were kids and it was great but now it is a no go area.
22/01/2010	Freephone		I am quite surprised that the brochure doesn't say anything about access for disabled people - no people in the pictures. No pushchairs or children either. It is aimed at able-bodied people, aged 25 - 60, and I strongly object. I work with disabled children, so it's important to include these things (i.e. special toilets, street level access to toilets) St. Nicholas House has public access toilets but they are downstairs. Would like a play area with mats, swings, have a coffee, and kids can play, picnic areas. No one is catering for children now. Nothing to do on a Sunday afternoon now. Should be able to go and listen to live music. Duthie park is too far away. Could have a space to put on shows, show art etc.
22/01/2010	Freephone	N	I am against Sir Ian Wood's development. I like the gardens. I want to retain the gardens and incorporate the Peacocks scheme.
22/01/2010	Freephone	N	I think they should be left. I think Peacock should have their design. I don't want to see a concrete jungle. Should improve access for wheel chairs, and should have wardens to look after the gardens. I support Peacocks because it keeps the gardens the same.
22/01/2010	Freephone	N	They would like to register total opposition to the project.
24/01/2010	Freephone	N	I am against the proposed flattening of the UTG. It should stay as a gardens and be improved. It definitely shouldn't become a civic square. It is a stupid idea, and that's all there is to it.
25/01/2010	Freephone		Requested a survey be sent to him and his sister. Surveys were sent 25/01/10.
25/01/2010	Freephone	N	The plans have too much concrete. I would like the existing gardens to be updated, and I want the Peacock scheme to be granted. I would like a leaflet to be sent out to my husband. Update: Letter sent 26/01/2010

26/01/2010	Freephone	N	Against the proposed concreting over the gardens. Prefer to leave them as they are, but if not, then Peacocks scheme should go ahead. The gardens have natural beauty, unique landscape, depth, and perspective. The proposed new square is a featureless, non-descript space. Large underground corridors for shops or probably left empty would just create a problem.
28/01/2010	Freephone		I don't think I would like it to go ahead. I have mixed feelings about it. Aberdeen is notorious for destroying its heritage, this is the only thing that we have left. Changes need to be made to UTG, but I don't think that raising it to street level is the right answer.
28/01/2010	Freephone	N	The wildlife will be disturbed, and I think the CSP is terrible. I think the Peacocks centre could be better. I'd like the gardens kept, and they should be windswept like Broad Street. There is no shelter from the elements. The gardens should be beautified.
28/01/2010	Freephone	N	We are against Union Square. Kids enjoy seeing the trains go through.
28/01/2010	Freephone	N	I don't like it. I would rather have the park as it is. It is an oasis in the city. There is too much concrete already (shopping malls etc.) I don't think we need it.
29/01/2010	Freephone	N	I am completely against it. I think it's a vanity project on behalf of Ian Wood. If it comes to pass, his cronies will suggest it'll be named after him. Don't like the way he says it's my way or no way. Concerned it will be windswept, and full of cyclists and drunks. Keep gardens as they are and improve accessibility. Build escalator and lift to gardens, and a pathway and make it better. It is a complete disaster. Keep a central park for Aberdeen.
29/01/2010	Freephone	N	I feel very strongly that I don't want it concreted over. I would like to see the gardens improved on. Improve toilets, access, and gates to stop drunks. I want to retain its character. I am concerned about the loss of Tivoli, George st. etc. People in Edinburgh would be up in arms if someone tried to concrete over gardens. It's such a lovely part of town, it would be tragic. The square would also have fumes from passing traffic.
29/01/2010	Freephone	N	I'm 100% against it. It's the only green part of Aberdeen and I wouldn't like to see it changed. Explanation provided re: green space included in the plans, no new change.
29/01/2010	Freephone	N	I would like to make my opinion that it would be a catastrophic mistake to destroy the green garden at the heart of Aberdeen city centre. A large open city square would be a gross mistake for the future of the city.
29/01/2010	Freephone	Y	I think it's a good idea. It's been lying dormant for so long. I would like to see it upgraded.

29/01/2010	Freephone	Y	I'm all for it. As it is, it is the most useless thing in Aberdeen. I'm 70 and have only been down there once in my life. When you do go down there you see junkies and no one feels safe. If it gets delivered you'll have a real city centre. We should take Sir Ian Wood's cash with both hands. A space such as the Tivoli Gardens in Copenhagen would be good.
29/01/2010	Freephone	Y	I'm certainly for the City Square Project. I had a very frightening experience in the gardens and don't feel it's safe. I have a disability and find access difficult. Wold be happy with slight dips as long as it's fully accessible. Cafe like Duthie Park. Somewhere for young children. Greenery important. Nothing like the Castlegate which is terrible.
29/01/2010	Freephone	Y	Good idea because Aberdeen needs a big central meeting point - Castlegate is too small. Need to know what would be going into the space before people can decide. It should have beautiful landscaping like Rome plaza. Use classies (cobblestones) - make it look like Aberdeen and give it character. Beautiful flagstones. Have a fountain or two and lots of trees planted. Ice rinks in the winter. Great if it goes ahead but needs character.
30/01/2010	Freephone		I would like to express my complete dissatisfaction with the public consultation, and the document you, as professionals, are putting out. The whole premise of the yes/no and items of precedence is that whoever fills it in is in favour, and to not fill it in or tick no is a negative, so it is totally biased. I feel as responsible consultation professionals you should be ashamed of yourselves.
30/01/2010	Freephone	N	I am strongly against the project. We should be ashamed of ourselves!
01/02/2010	Freephone		Message: I am sorry, I wish to complain about the consultation. It is not a level playing field, as you invite in an attractive manner all could be had in the CSP. "Let's have ideas" but only under Sir. Ian Wood's canopy of promise and proposal. There are many ways to re-energize UTG, but no room to put forward positive ideas. The consultation process was flawed at the very beginning. It is unfair to unsuspecting people who try to come and comment on it.
01/02/2010	Freephone	N	I want to make a major complaint, it is a very biased project, and I am thoroughly against the CSP
01/02/2010	Freephone	Y	I think CSP would be a good idea.
03/02/2010	Freephone		Asking about how older people can get involved, and asked for an address to write a letter. Also asked who Weber Shandwick is and who is paying us.
03/02/2010	Freephone		She got a flyer from Peacocks - very negative and does my head in. I wanted to see the consultation so it is more open. Vicky emailed her the information from the exhibition presentation on 05/02/10.

03/02/2010	Freephone	N	I want to raise an objection to the removal of UTG. It is a shame to see lovely gardens destroyed. It seems that everything in Aberdeen is destroyed.
05/02/2010	Freephone	N	I am against it. The city can't afford it. It would be better to put this money into a worthwhile cause.
07/02/2010	Freephone	N	Would not like to see UTG covered up with concrete. Wants greenery. In favour of Peacocks.
08/02/2010	Freephone	N	I think I'd like to see it left as it is. If anything, I'd like to see the arches done up. If anything I would like to see the arches done up. I want them to leave it as a green space, and not cover it over.
09/02/2010	Freephone	N	I think the offer made is very generous, though misguided. I would like to see more gardens for people during the day and some kind of fencing located at night. I think the money should be spent on bringing trams to Aberdeen, like Edinburgh.
10/02/2010	Freephone		Asked: who produced leaflet, analysing findings, dealing with consultation, where ACSEF's office is and who members are, who wrote feasibility study and where you can get it. The fact that there would be an international design competition should have been in leaflet as it is a fundamental point. Square should be created at St. Nicholas House. Said artists impressions were unhelpful and the feedback form is like a Santa Claus list. Said artists impressions don't represent what being said. Info on funding is vague. Asked where consultation report will be published. Raised concerns about: security for the square, electrification of railway line being hampered by the square, doubts that Union Street would be revitalised and how public are being informed.
11/02/2010	Freephone		Mrs. Smith was concerned after filling in an online form. When she printed out the form, the box that she ticked not to support reverted to support. NB. Checked with Big Picture and this is a default with the browsers. Mrs. Smith was sent a screen grab of her entry, but she remains concerned about the validity of her entry.
11/02/2010	Freephone	Y	All for CSP. Garden's aren't getting used now.
12/02/2010	Freephone	N	She strongly protests the CSP
12/02/2010	Freephone	N	The CSP has too much concrete, not enough greenery, water features are needed, need more things for kids.
13/02/2010	Freephone	Y	Message: I strongly approve of the CSP.
13/02/2010	Freephone	Y	I support the development which encompasses the CSP <i>with</i> the Peacocks visual arts project.
13/02/2010	Freephone	Y	I left Aberdeen 45 years ago and gardens need to be fixed as they're not so lovely now. We should thank our benefactor Sir Ian Wood who is enhancing the area.
14/02/2010	Freephone	N	I want to see the arches left as they are. I think it's disgraceful that the city/shire is considering destroying the gardens. This is a grandiose scheme by someone (Sir Ian Wood) who wants to be remembered.
15/02/2010	Freephone	N	She is strongly against the CSP, and strongly for the Peacocks visual arts project.

15/02/2010	Freephone	N	I am against the project due to the cost and destruction of a natural environment.
15/02/2010	Freephone	Y	I support the project, and wish you every success.
16/02/2010	Freephone	N	I am against raising the gardens. The visual design of Peacocks is far superior. Union Square would be covered in chewing gum. I m very much against the project.
16/02/2010	Freephone	N	I am dead against it.
16/02/2010	Freephone	Y	Support Sir Ian Wood, it will put the heart back in the city. Don't be put off by the doom and gloom merchants.
17/02/2010	Freephone	N	I certainly don't agree with Ian Woods. Aberdeen Council needs more imagination. Peacock is the lesser of two evils. Please leave it as it is. It could include a farmer's market and city wardens to fend off drunks and druggies. Question: who is going to pay for it?
20/02/2010	Freephone	N	City Square project is a complete waste of money. Especially during this financial crisis
24/02/2010	Freephone	N	The park could be beautiful, do not cover over
24/02/2010	Freephone	N	Not in favour of union terrace. Not a concrete jungle
24/02/2010	Freephone	N	Police should clear the undesirables and should be cleared, a haven in the centre of town, it looks like a Runway of the airport from the computer images, money should be spent on the infrastructure
24/02/2010	Freephone	N	Total disagreement with CSP gardens one of few landmarks left. Square would attract drug dealers and beggars
24/02/2010	Freephone	N	Can the city sqare not go opposite the town house? Would never happen in Edinburgh. Very much against project. Will ruin the city
24/02/2010	Freephone	Y	Strongly agree with CSP, should accept Sir Wood's pledge anything would be better than what it looks like now
24/02/2010	Freephone	Y	Would be the greatest thing to see the gardens raised for all to enjoy. Congrats here's hoping we make it
24/02/2010	Freephone	Y	I totally agree with the project. Thank you
24/02/2010	Freephone	Y	100% back the UTG
24/02/2010	Freephone	N	In favour of keeping gardens.
24/02/2010	Freephone	Y	Not before time. Would be absolutely wonderful if CSP got go ahead, union street is a disgrace. All for it
24/02/2010	Freephone	Y	Go ahead with it. The park is currently dead, get rid of the junkies. Aberdeen is 100 years behind other cities. He hopes the project gets the go ahead
24/02/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes to the square!
25/02/2010	Freephone		Spoke to Tom Smith on Northsound, so does not require any further follow-up.
25/02/2010	Freephone	N	Not in favour of City Square project. Although believes UTG do need to be upgraded, the gardens do need to be made more accessible but please don't cover up in concrete
25/02/2010	Freephone	N	Does not wis for sir Wood's project to go ahead. Would definitely be in favour of Peacocks proposal

25/02/2010	Freephone	N	Leave UTG alone, just needs upgrading and a bit of TLC they were fans when they were younger. Please leave alone
25/02/2010	Freephone	N	This is not going to help the area, i would hate to see the gardens disappear
25/02/2010	Freephone	Y	I support the project. I would hope they could include Peacocks
25/02/2010	Freephone	Y	Supports the plan. Sympathetic to Peacocks, could a joint compromise be reached? If not, fully supports CSP
26/02/2010	Freephone	N	Not in agreement with CSQP stick to Peacocks proposal. Why not put it against Nicholas House and Marshal College. Peacocks would be a far better proposition
26/02/2010	Freephone	N	Strongly against the project. It would devastate the city centre. Turn Aberdeen into any other built up UK city. Leave the gardens. Would never see Edinburgh's gardens being raised. Wood: thanks but no thanks
26/02/2010	Freephone	N	Cultural vandalism. Our forefathers built the gardens and they should be left as they are. If we raise the gardens we are trashing history
26/02/2010	Freephone	N	Prefer the gardens to stay where they are. Edinburgh has their gardens, Aberdeen should get to keep theirs too. The Image of the CSP in paper today was horrible
26/02/2010	Freephone	Y	Looking at Evening Express now and think it would be much better. Definitely for the CSP
26/02/2010	Freephone	Y	Fully in support of the project. Woul be wonderful for Aberdeen
26/02/2010	Freephone	Y	100% in favour
26/02/2010	Freephone	Y	Fully support the CSP
01/03/2010	Freephone	N	Leave as they are. Just upgrade and make safer. Build police station underground to make people feel safer
01/03/2010	Freephone	N	Leave the gardens alone. They just need some TLC that wouldn't need £140m
01/03/2010	Freephone	N	Against the project. We should be preserving the square
01/03/2010	Freephone	N	Wish to vote against. Wants to support Peacocks
01/03/2010	Freephone	N	No to "concrete jungle"
01/03/2010	Freephone	N	Wants to keep all statues preserved, completely against project, thinks money should be spent upgrading gardens, installing lifts to take people down, area will invite trouble in a fri/sat night and strong winds would blow through union street
01/03/2010	Freephone	N	I love the gardens the way they are. I would like to see them keep as they are
01/03/2010	Freephone	N	In favour of Peacocks. Tradition in Aberdeen. The design is a lot nicer and just because sir Wood is putting up so much money, doesn't mean this is the best idea
01/03/2010	Freephone	N	Need to update what we already have before starting anything new. Gardens are not currently being updated or looked after at all. They just need improving. Sir Wood is on an ego trip
01/03/2010	Freephone	N	A definite no. Money proposed could be better used for swimming pool, sport facilities and for maintaining old people homes

01/03/2010	Freephone	N	Leave the gardens the way they are, they are beautiful
01/03/2010	Freephone	N	Against project, don't need a new square, need to tidy up the gardens then it wouldn't cost so much money
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	For the CSP
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Fully back the project. Against Peacocks
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Would like to see proper civic SQ. With proper toilets. Definitely for it
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Would like to see garden raised. Better access for everyone
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Go ahead with plans. Fully support the project. Would never go down there now, too scared. Now it definitely needs to be upgraded and improved
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	For the Square!
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Votes for gardens to be raised there is nowhere to go with young children and push chairs. Would be excellent for city workers. Should build a car park underneath
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Support the scheme whole heartedly and open their eyes and see this as a good thing
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	In favour it is not used as it is
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Absolutely in favour of the CSP
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	The square should definitely be raised. No one currently uses it. It would definitely enhance the area
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Definitely 100% for the project. Aberdeen needs it
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Definitely backing the plan. UTG are just a rubbish dump at the moment. Aberdeen needs something that is unique
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Something definitely needs to be done about the gardens. Not turning it into a concrete jungle though. Would like to see water features
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Resounding yes to the project
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Fully supports project. Would like to see: greenery, art, music, theatre, childrens play area. Not to be overly commercialised with shops. Would like to see an underground swimming pool installed
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Supports the projects. Lets get on with it
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Favour of the project
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	CSP should go ahead, major acquisition for city, Opportunity not to be missed
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Definitely in favour and fully support
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Think carefully about gardens. All for it. Perfect way to get rid of drunks
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	For. Bring back something to the heart of Aberdeen. Sir Wood should be commended
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	For it. Need the wow factor. Aberdeen needs to brought into modern times. Sir WOOD very generous. Brilliant idea
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	For the CSP
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	For the raising, hope it goes ahead
01/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Fully supports the project
02/03/2010	Freephone	N	All against it. Anything the council has to do with is always a disaster
02/03/2010	Freephone	N	Do not support Sir Wood project. Completely against what he is proposing
02/03/2010	Freephone	N	Against

02/03/2010	Freephone	N	Against UTG being raised. Should be left and just refurbished
02/03/2010	Freephone	N	Objects to the developments of UTG
02/03/2010	Freephone	N	Object to the square. The gardens just need to updated an upgraded
02/03/2010	Freephone	N	Not happy "concrete Jungle". All for Peacocks
02/03/2010	Freephone	N	No to the City Square project
02/03/2010	Freephone	N	Astounded at this project. Need this square like we need a hole in the head the city is a disgrace. The oney could be better spent on union stree and potholes in the city. Bridge over river don needs replaced. Beach is in a terrible state. There is so much that needs to be sorted first. Sir Wood needs to take a look around and get a reality check
02/03/2010	Freephone	Y	In favour, something must be done
02/03/2010	Freephone	Y	For the CSP at present. A terrifying and unaccessible place. Should definitely be raised
02/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes, in favour. Would be a good move forward for Aberdeen
02/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Definitely supports the project, the gardens at the moment are a waste of space
02/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Phoning to support new square. City Square could be a benefit to the city
02/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Thinks there should be shops, cafes and arghes. At the moment its not used. Think it
02/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Would like the project to go ahead. It can only make Aberdeen a better place
02/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes to the square, the city needs it
02/03/2010	Freephone	Y	In favour of gardens revamp. City centre is sad and needs to be reborn
02/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes to the city square. Wouldn't go near the place now
02/03/2010	Freephone	Y	In favour of new square. Hope it goes forward
02/03/2010	Freephone	Y	In favour. Gardens need to be updated
02/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes to the civic square
02/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Vote for the square
03/03/2010	Freephone	Y	All in favour. Make sure there is enough greenery and grass
03/03/2010	Freephone	Y	For the project
03/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes. In agreement with project. No one uses the gardens as they are and would benefit all of city if they were raised as long as there is greenery people will get the best of both worlds
03/03/2010	Freephone	Y	For the project. It is an area that is used only by undesirables. The area should definitely be raised but should still retain some greenery
04/03/2010	Freephone	N	Object to Sir Wood plan
04/03/2010	Freephone	N	Very much against the project
04/03/2010	Freephone	N	Against the project
04/03/2010	Freephone	N	Much prefer the Peacocks development
04/03/2010	Freephone	N	Against C.S.P., all for Peacocks
04/03/2010	Freephone	N	Do not support concrete jungle. Development could be done at much less of a cost
04/03/2010	Freephone	N	The most ridiculous idea ever to be thought up. Completely against

04/03/2010	Freephone	N	Lodge a complaint. Completely and absolutely object to the gardens. All friends and family love the gardens and use them every week. U.T.G basis of character of Aberdeen
04/03/2010	Freephone	N	Retain the gardens exactly as they are. They are victorian and just need an upgrade
04/03/2010	Freephone	N	Would be criminal if UTG was altered. Completely against the scheme. Fully support Peacocks
04/03/2010	Freephone	N	The green space should be left and just upgraded. Should have better access and the civic square should go where St Nicholas house is
04/03/2010	Freephone	N	Object very strongly to raising the gardes. Would ruin Aberdeen
04/03/2010	Freephone	N	Against proposal. The Peacocks plan should definitely get go ahead
04/03/2010	Freephone	N	Do not want to see the gardens being raised but they definitevely need a revamp
04/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Thoroughly agree with project, as long as here is a flat area to walk and a seating area to rest
04/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Approve of CSP
04/03/2010	Freephone	Y	All in favour of CSP. Fully support
04/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes, in favour. All in favour
04/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Certainly in favour, very much hope it goes ahead
04/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Would like to see the gardens raised. Would be a huge improvement for the city of Aberdeen
04/03/2010	Freephone	Y	All for the project
04/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Disgrace if the council don't go for CSP
04/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Fully agree with conversion
04/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Think it's an excellent idea to raise gardens
04/03/2010	Freephone	Y	In support of new civic square, much better for disabled people
04/03/2010	Freephone	Y	"I love UTG but definitely could benefit from CSP
04/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Supports the CSP
05/03/2010	Freephone	N	Gardens left as they are, toilets refurbished. Use the money to fill potholes
05/03/2010	Freephone	N	Tax Mr Wood, insulting, the gardens are in disgrace. I don't think Evening Express should be pro-gardens
05/03/2010	Freephone	N	No
05/03/2010	Freephone	N	Against it
05/03/2010	Freephone	N	Should be left how it is and just upgraded. Please keep it
05/03/2010	Freephone	N	Against it
05/03/2010	Freephone	N	Sir Wood: i don't agree with the city square. Leave as is
05/03/2010	Freephone	N	Against it. Spend revamp the gardens
05/03/2010	Freephone	N	Strongly dislike the idea. Peacocks would enhance the gardens
05/03/2010	Freephone	N	Leave gardens, let Peacocks go ahead
05/03/2010	Freephone	N	Don't agree with proposal at I am prefer Peacocks. Tidy up gardens
05/03/2010	Freephone	N	Like the fact someone is doing something but don't like it being raised. Don't like it being a wasted green areas. Doubt and hopes it is never taken off the ground
05/03/2010	Freephone	N	Not necessary to spend that money on gardens. Keep it as it is now he just wants to stick his name on something
05/03/2010	Freephone	N	Sir Wood only wants to leave his name

05/03/2010	Freephone	N	No vote leave the gardens. No name left unable to call back
05/03/2010	Freephone	N	Leave the gardens as they are
05/03/2010	Freephone	N	like the ida, such a nice place to go, children love running around. Put the civic square where town house is
05/03/2010	Freephone	N	For Peacocks - Leave gardens alone!
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Prefer greenery to be left, Architect students plan look very interesting. Avoid having area full of shops
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes, in favour
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Full support the project as something needs to be done
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes, in favour
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes favour
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Union upgraded needing! Yes in favour
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes in favour
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes in favour
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Favour , plans
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Vote in favour
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Sir Wood's plan for gardens is a great thing for the city
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Fully supports the project
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Totally in favour of CSP
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Want to vote towards civic square
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Very much in favour of Sir Wood and his proposal
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Vote for the square
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Not getting through to people , Evening Express doesn't know anything about it, all in favour of it, not sure why csp has not publicised their project properly
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Approve of the scheme for UTG
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes for both projects
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Support the project
05/03/2010	Freephone	N	For the gardens
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Vote for Sir Wood 100%. Council would squader the money. I support Ian Wood
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Aberdeen needs a facelift
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes in favour
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes vote
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	All in favour of the project
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	For the project
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Favour of the raising of the gardens
05/03/2010	Freephone	Y	Yes vote
14/11/2010	Freephone	N	I am against CSP. I used UTG in childhood - I danced there and took our children. We should be spending money on making it more accessible and friendlier. Kick beggars out, and displays should be introduced. It really just need a <i>major</i> upgrade, perhaps with a cafe/bar in it. I am concerned about the wildlife in the park.
	Freephone	N	Would be a tragedy if it went ahead
	Freephone	N	
	Freephone	N	
	Freephone	N	

	Freephone	N	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	N	Leave the gardens alone
	Freephone	N	Object strongly to the project False argument it will bring money into the economy Peacocks would be much more authentic Put the City Square next to the Town House
	Freephone	N	If there were no gardens there would be nowhere for the children to go
	Freephone	N	What is the point of asking the public when no one will listen? Dead against the whole project
	Freephone	N	Not in favour of gardens being raised Money should be spent upgrading the gardens as they are
	Freephone	N	Something needs to be left of Aberdeen Object very strongly
	Freephone	N	Do not want to see the gardens concreted over No need for new shops
	Freephone	N	Fully in support of Peacocks
	Freephone	N	Fully in support of Peacocks
	Freephone	N	Has everyone gone mad? City Square Project is ridiculous
	Freephone	N	
	Freephone	N	The gardens should stay as they are
	Freephone	N	
	Freephone	N	It is sad that peacocks have lost out Money could be spent on better things Put the square outside Marshall College
	Freephone	N	Money should be spent on fixing Aberdeens pot holes instead
	Freephone	N	Totally opposed to this Put in an escalator We will regret destroying the gardens
	Freephone	N	Don't raise the gardens
	Freephone	N	Wants to see the gardens remain as they are
	Freephone	N	Fully in support of Peacocks
	Freephone	N	Don't concrete it over I work for a national paper Aberdeen is crap already - this project will make it worse
	Freephone	N	The new square would just get covered in chewing gum It could just be relandscaped beautifully Peacocks is a much nicer proposal
	Freephone	N	In the past 60 years is there any evidence of of civic architecture improving the city? No. Aberdeen people will end up bearing most of the cost Demolish Nicholas House and put the square there instead
	Freephone	N	Leave the gardens as they are There is so much that can be done to keep them greener, better lit and more attractive
	Freephone	N	Put the city square in front of the new town house Union Terrace Gardens is a good park
	Freephone	N	Save the gardens Fully support Peacocks

	Freephone	N	
	Freephone	N	Locate the new square on Broad St near council buildings Far more important things to deal with - Union Street needs upgraded more than anything Leave the gardens alone
	Freephone	N	The gardens should just be upgraded and made more accessible Never forgiven the council for closing off George St Transport system has been wrong ever since
	Freephone	N	
	Freephone	N	Would like to see a drug free zone Put in a Big Wheel or a tall observation block A bicycle free area would be good and non slip paving stones
	Freephone	N	Fully in favour of Peacocks
	Freephone	N	
	Freephone	N	Keep the gardens - they are unique to Aberdeen's heritage and charm Would be a fraction of the cost if the money was used just to regenerate the gardens There is enough concrete in the city already
	Freephone	N	We have lost so much of Aberdeen's character already Make the gardens more accessible Peacocks should go ahead
	Freephone	N	Unhappy about the proposed destruction of Union Terrace Gardens
	Freephone	N	The gardens are part of Aberdeen's history and should be left alone
	Freephone	N	
	Freephone	N	The City Square Project is flawed Would just mean further congestion, pollution
	Freephone	N	The gardens should be left alone, but controlled and should feature concerts and shows Ian Woods should not get away with what he is proposing
	Freephone	N	Retain the gardens, don't raise them Roadway and Railway should be covered over only Supports the Peacocks Project
	Freephone	N	All in favour of Peacocks
	Freephone	N	Aberdeen does not need any more concrete Ian Woods only wants to create a legacy in his own mind
	Freephone	N	Council should spend money on lighting and disabled access The town house should be demolished instead
	Freephone	N	The gardens should not be changed They are unique to Aberdeen Birds and wildlife there should be preserved not destructed The gardens just need to be enhanced

	Freephone	N	The only thing needed is wheelchair and buggy access Toilets should be reopened Disgusted at the way things have been handled Ian Woods should have tried to help 20 years ago The Evening Express is downright disgusting and biased
	Freephone	N	The council should be upgrading facilities and maintaining what is already there
	Freephone	N	The gardens should be managed There should be a return to entertainment Reintroduce the clock The Evening Express is very biased
	Freephone	N	Gardens should just be upgraded and accessibility should be improved
	Freephone	N	Completely horrified and against the project
	Freephone	N	Please do not add to already existing concrete Much prefer the Peacock Proposal
	Freephone	N	Would ruin the city if the gardens were changed Completely against project
	Freephone	N	Think something should be done, but worry about car fumes if fully raised. A water feature would be nice
		N	Not in agreement at all The gardens have been used frequently since the 16th century We are all part of a clan The weather is harsh there - but at the moment that area is sheltered and should stay that way
	Freephone	N	The area needs improvement but definitely does not need changed drastically It is the lungs of the city A peaceful oasis - improve the bandstand and the arches Put the civic square at Castlegate
	Freephone	N	All the gardens need is a bit of a makeover
	Freephone	N	The gardens should not be raised
	Freephone	N	Appalled at how peacocks have been treated Total stab in the back Maybe cover up the railway but the majority of the gardens should stay as they are Peacocks proposal should go ahead
	Freephone	N	Dead against the City Square Project Peacocks should be given the go ahead
	Freephone	N	not enough information has been provided about the proposal
	Freephone	N	
	Freephone	N	Don't want a concrete skateboard Look at examples of Bath, Chester and York for inspiration of how Aberdeen should look
	Freephone	N	Leave the gardens alone
	Freephone	N	
	Freephone	N	In favour of Peacocks
	Freephone	N	Peacocks should go ahead Gardens just need improving They are unique and should not be paved over to attract litter and chewing gum

			Fully against the proposal Stems from extreme money required Aberdeen can't afford it
	Freephone	N	
	Freephone	N	
	Freephone	N	Peacocks is far more superior to the City Square
	Freephone	N	
	Freephone	N	Already far too many eyesores in the city Don't change the beautiful gardens In favour of Peacocks
	Freephone	N	Mr Woods should put his money where it could be of more use
	Freephone	N	
	Freephone	N	
	Freephone	N	City Sqaure will be ruined and wrecked in no time All for Peacocks
	Freephone	N	Will spoil the gardens completely if the gardens are raised
	Freephone	N	All for Peacocks
	Freephone	N	Totally against - money could be spent on better things in the city
	Freephone	N	Peacocks should get given go ahead
	Freephone	N	Would just prefer some minor developments made
	Freephone	N	No to the project
	Freephone	N	Not in Favour
	Freephone	N	Gardens should be left as they are Shops and cafes should be placed in the arches
	Freephone	N	Easier access is needed - we need shops and cafes in the arches
	Freephone	N	Gardens just need to be improved
	Freephone	Y	Great idea
	Freephone	Y	In favour of the project
	Freephone	Y	Full support of the project
	Freephone	Y	Yes, in favour
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	Yes to raising the gardens Please just keep some greenery
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	Totally in agreement
	Freephone	Y	Fully supportive
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	Raising the gardens would make it much more accessible
	Freephone	Y	Very much in favour, just keep the greenery
	Freephone	Y	All for it!
	Freephone	Y	About time to go ahead with this
	Freephone	Y	Definitely all for it
	Freephone	Y	They definitely need to be more user friendly
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	

	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	A great idea
	Freephone	Y	Yes definitely Concrete should be kept to a minimum No retail development As much greenery as possible
	Freephone	Y	Something should definitely be done
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	Yes go ahead - in 20 years, I have never been in the gardens
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	In favour but keep the greenery
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	A definite yes - would like to see some markets also
	Freephone	Y	Would like the project to go ahead No one uses the gardens at the moment, they are terrible
	Freephone	Y	It is only alcoholics who use the gardens now Should definitely go ahead
	Freephone	Y	Definitely in support Please make it well lit and include public toilets
	Freephone	Y	Definitely in favour Would enhance the city
	Freephone	Y	Yes in favour Would be good for the city
	Freephone	Y	In favour of the scheme
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	In favour of raising the square
	Freephone	Y	In favour of the project This piece of land is not used as it is
	Freephone	Y	Should definitely go ahead Would give the city a great boost
	Freephone	Y	The best idea Aberdeen has had for 100 years The city has been stuck in a time warp and desperately needs this
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	Definitely go ahead The city needs some modernisation As long as greenery is retained and it does not cost too much money
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	In total support - Ian Woods is very generous
	Freephone	Y	Definitely in favour of development, am shocked and aggrieved by the short sightedness of Aberdeen

	Freephone	Y	Strongly in favour as it is currently so underused Its not accessible to mothers with prams and needs to be upgraded for future generations
	Freephone	Y	In full favour The average Aberdonian does not set foot in the gardens There is a lack of city interest in this project due to the Council as no one has any faith in Aberdeen Council
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	Supports Sir Ian Wood Would like a lot more landscape and the less concrete the better
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	A great idea The area needs to be staffed to keep out current occupants
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	Would be a definite improvement
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	It is a good idea Would like to see more flowers, shrubs and be able to still enjoy some gardens
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	The gardens really need to change
	Freephone	Y	The gardens are dark and dingy and we should all support Sir Ian Woods
	Freephone	Y	It would be lovely to walk on one level space
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	Agree fully with Ian Woods - it is too hard to get down to the gardens as they currently are
	Freephone	Y	I want this project to go ahead We need to move into the 21st Century and have an area we can all be proud of
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	The place is a disgrace as it stands now - the sooner it gets improved the better
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	Y	
	Freephone	N	Would like the gardens to be upgraded, but to remain as they are. Would not like the gardens to be concreted over. Would like a floral clock like in Edinburgh. She is sure that Edinburgh would not have their gardens concreted over!

	Freephone	N	I don't think that the project should go ahead. It's a beautiful place. I take my grandson there to watch trains as I did when I was a child. Also, the council are cutting services - should be put where St. Nicholas square is. Let Peacock go ahead and should tidy up gardens.
	Freephone	N	I don't think it should be raised. I used the gardens lots when young. It could be developed into a really nice place, but not at street level.
	Freephone	N	I am very much against the proposal but I like Peacocks.
	Freephone	N	Message: I would not like to see UTG covered over. I would like to have a green space in the middle of town. There are plans for another square at Union Square already, and St. Nicholas. This is enough, we don't need another one. My husband and I would like to see Peacocks plan go ahead and keep the green area in the middle rather than another concrete one.
	Freephone	N	Message: I want to register opposition to the plan. A lot more could be done with the gardens. It is an absolute disaster. All sorts of different things could be done that are better than what's proposed.
	Freephone	N	I am totally opposed to UTG I am worried about the fact that we seem to be pushed into it. We need the green space. It's a disgrace to build over them and destroy the trees and grass and impact buildings on Belmont street. Couldn't they just improve access from Rosemount Viaduct and Union Terrace? They haven't though that keeping the gardens is the best option.
	Freephone	N	Highly concerned. What Aberdeen doesn't have is green open space. Believes that language about raising gardens is a misnomer, and for people who don't understand, this could be misinformation. If the project is to retain legitimacy, then plain language is necessary. Raising the gardens does not work, and it is strange that there is not an alternative proposal mentioned. Would support a mixed development. Status: Responded 18/01/2010.
	Freephone	N	I think the project would be a catastrophic mistake.
	Freephone	N	I don't support the project in its current form. I do support the Peacocks plan. What I do like in Scotland is the covered gallery in Princess Gallery, Jenners Enoch Square in Glasgow, a square in Inverness.
	Freephone	N	Message: I object to [the CSP project in] UTG. It belongs to us and the others should go and have their own space.
	Freephone	N	I like the idea of raising the gardens, but I don't like the image of the gray concrete. There needs to be lawns and trees in the new space - somewhere for older people to sit on the lawn and relax. A platform for pipe bands to play would be good. It's the only green relief in the centre. One cafe would be nice, as well as an arts centre and toilets.

	Freephone	Y	I've been in Aberdeen all my life. The last time I was in UTG was about 18 years ago and it is right in the heart of the city centre. There is nothing there at all. The new proposal would let people use the space at night time.
	Freephone	Y	City project should go ahead. Wonderful idea!
	Freephone	Y	I think the city square project is a good idea.
	Freephone	Y	It's a good idea. But it's not possible to include the arts centre into the project.
	Freephone	N	Bad ideas. Leave gardens as they are
	Freephone	N	Leave gardens security
	Freephone	N	Attended Feb 23rd meeting would vote NO. Reasons: Peacock project is "bird in hand" where will extra £90m come from? We should not be destroying green space
	Freephone	N	Should not be changed to City Square. Will they not be maintained in the future
	Freephone	N	The gardens to shelter from the wind
	Freephone	N	Peacocks. No
	Freephone	Y	In favour
	Freephone	Y	Sir wood's scheme= Fair, polite, well mannered. Come together: Peacocks. Peacocks: the arts council is public money too. In favour of CQP
	Freephone	Y	Against it at first, but when saw the pictures it looked beautiful and changes my mind
	Freephone	Y	Vote retaining gardens, money should be spent on improving what currently exists, park keepers should be employed, galleries surrounding should be upgraded with cafes

Names/contact details have been removed for data protection